

Bruce T. Beesley

Honorable Bruce T. Beesley
United States Bankruptcy Judge



Entered on Docket
September 26, 2018

Jeffrey L. Hartman, Esq., #1607
HARTMAN & HARTMAN
510 West Plumb Lane, Suite B
Reno, Nevada 89509
Telephone: (775) 324-2800
Telecopier: (775) 324-1818
notices@bankruptcyreno.com

Attorney for Jeri Coppa-Knudson, Trustee

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

IN RE:	CASE NO. BK-N-14-50333-BTB
ANTHONY THOMAS and	CASE NO. BK-N-14-50331-BTB
WENDI THOMAS,	(Jointly Administered)
AT EMERALD, LLC,	CHAPTER 7
Debtors.	

_____/	
JERI COPPA-KNUDSON, TRUSTEE,	ADV. PROC. NO. 17-05005-BTB
Plaintiff,	

vs.	JUDGMENT AND ORDER DIRECTING TURNOVER
-----	--

KENNETH CONETTO, ESTATE OF	
ERIC KITCHEN, KIMBERLY KLOTZ,	Hearing Date: September 19, 2018
WAYNE CATLETT and SHERIFF OF	Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m.
SANTA CLARA COUNTY,	
Defendants.	

1 The matter came before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion For Entry of Default
2 Judgment. **Adv. DE 24.** The Court has entered separate findings of fact and conclusions of
3 law. **Adv. DE 28.**

4 Declaratory Judgment is entered against Defendants Estate of Eric Kitchen,
5 Kimberly Klotz and Wayne Catlett determining they have no legal or equitable claim to the
6 Santa Clara Emerald. Judgment in favor of Plaintiff is entered directing the Santa Clara
7 Sheriff to turnover and deliver custody and control of the Santa Clara Emerald to Plaintiff
8 for the benefit of the Thomas and the AT Emerald chapter 7 estates.

9
10 Submitted by:

11 **HARTMAN & HARTMAN**

12 /S/ Jeffrey L. Hartman
13 Jeffrey L. Hartman, Esq.
14 Attorney for Jeri Coppa-Knudson,
15 Plaintiff
16

17 #####
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ALTERNATIVE METHOD Re: RULE 9021

In accordance with Local Rule 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies that the order accurately reflects the court's ruling and that (check one):

☐ The court has waived the requirement set forth in LR 9021(b)(1).

☒ No party appeared at the hearing or filed an objection to the paper.

☐ I have delivered a copy of the proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, any trustee appointed in this case and any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and each has approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond as indicated below.

Debtor:

☒ Not a party

☐ Waived the right to review the order and/or

☐ Appeared at the hearing, waived the right to review the order

☐ Matter unopposed, did not appear at the hearing, waived the right to review the order

☐ Disapproved the form of this order

☐ Did not respond to the paper

Trustee Plaintiff:

☒ Approved the form of this order

☐ Disapproved the form of this order

☐ Waived the right to review the order and/or

☐ Did not respond to the paper

☐ Did not appear at the hearing or object to the paper

☐ I certify that this is a case under Chapter 7 or 13, that I have served a copy of this order with the motion pursuant to LR 9014(g), and that no party has objected to the form or content of the order.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Submitted by:

HARTMAN & HARTMAN

/S/ Jeffrey L. Hartman

Jeffrey L. Hartman